

BAY and BAKOOL Sub-National Food Security Cluster Meeting

28th November 2013

ORDO Office



SOMALIA
FOOD SECURITY CLUSTER
Strengthening Humanitarian Response

Agenda

Standing items

1. Introductions
2. Review and agreement of previous minutes
3. Review/follow-up actions from previous meeting
4. Access constraints
5. Presentation of district level information
6. AOB



Access Constraints & Implications on Operations

To be completed for every meeting



Review of FSC Responses for Bay and Bakool (October 2013)



Cluster Members Responses by Response Priority

Region	Improved Access		Livelihood Investment		Safety Net Responses	
	No. Reached	No. Reported	No. Reached	No. Reported	No. Reached	No. Reported
Bay	1,980	3	82,662	8	0	0
	No. Reached	No. Reported	No. Reached	No. Reported	No. Reached	No. Reported
Bakool	990	1	48,252	4	0	1
	No. Reached	No. Reported	No. Reached	No. Reported	No. Reached	No. Reported

District Level Response

i) Improved Access to Food & Safety Nets

Region	District	Emergency Relief Assistance Cash (Food/Cash/Vouche	Safety Net Responses
Bakool	El Berde	0	0
	Hudur	0	0
	Rab Dhure	0	0
	Tayeeglow	990	0
	Wajid	0	0
	Baidoa	1,980	0
Bay	Buur Hakaba	0	0
	Dinsor	0	0
	Qansah Dere	0	0

District Level Response Livelihood Investment

Region	District	Livelihood investment
Bakool	El Berde	10,140
	Hudur	0
	Rab Dhure	13,416
	Tayeeglow	24,696
	Wajid	
Bay	Baidoa	54,090
	Buur Hakaba	18,684
	Dinsor	0
	Qansah Dere	9,888



District Level Response

ii) Livelihood Investment Activity Breakdown

Region	District	Assets	Seeds Distribution	Animal Re-distribution	Poultry Farming
Bakool	El Berde	10,140	0	0	0
	Hudur	0	0	0	0
	Rab Dhure	13,416	0	0	0
	Tayeeglow	22896	0	1,800	0
	Wajid	0	0	0	0
Bay	Baidoa	4,620	49,470	0	0
	Buur Hakaba	18,684	0	0	0
	Dinsor	0	0	0	0
	Qansah Dere	9,888	0	0	0

Gap Analysis: Improved Access and Safety Net Responses

Legend

● Region Capital

□ District Boundary

▭ Region Boundary

Oct. Improved Access and Safety Net

Percentage


■ 0 to 10 percent

■ 10 to 25 percent


■ 25 to 50 percent

■ 50 to 75 percent

■ 75+ percent



Gap Analysis: Livelihood Investment Assets Responses



Legend

● Region Capital

□ District Boundary

▭ Region Boundary

October Livelihood Assets GAP

Gap magnitude

U < 20.000

U 20.000 to 100.000

U > 100.000

October Livelihood Assets

Percentage

■ 0 to 10 percent

■ 10 to 25 percent


■ 25 to 50 percent

■ 50 to 75 percent

■ 75+ percent



Gap Analysis: Livelihood Investment Inputs' Responses



Legend

- Region Capital
- District Boundary
- Region Boundary

October Livelihood Input GAP

Gap Magnitude

- U 0 to 20,000
- U 20,000 to 100,000
- U >100,000

October Livelihood inputs

Percentage

- 0 to 10 percent
- 10 to 25 percent
- 25 to 50 percent
- 50 to 75 percent
- 75+ percent



Any Other Business

