

# Gedo Sub-National Food Security Cluster Meeting

23 July 2013

&

Location



# Agenda

## Standing items

1. Introductions
2. Review and agreement of previous minutes
3. Review/follow-up actions from previous meeting
4. Access constraints
5. Presentation of district level information
6. Review of gaps per district and Response Discussion
7. AOB



# Access Constraints & Implications on Operations

To be completed for every meeting



# Review of FSC Responses for Gedo (June 2013)



# Cluster Members Responses by Response Priority

Region	Improved Access	Livelihood Investment	Safety Net Responses
<b>Gedo</b>	0	101154	0

# District Level Response

## i) Improved Access to Food & Safety Nets

Region	District	Improved Access	Safety Net Responses
Gedo	Bardera	0	0
	Belet Hawa	0	0
	Dolo	0	0
	El Waq	0	0
	Garbahare	0	0
	Luuq	0	0

# District Level Response

## Improved Access to Food & Safety Nets Activity Breakdown

Region	District	Assisted with Cash	Assisted with Food	Assisted with Vouchers	Goods
Gedo	Bardera	0	0	0	0
	Belet Hawa	0	0	0	0
	Dolo	0	0	0	0
	El Waq	0	0	0	0
	Garbahare	0	0	0	0
	Luuq	0	0	0	0

# District Level Response Livelihood Investment

Region	District	Livelihood investment
Gedo	Bardera	9,780
	Belet Hawa	34,542
	Dolo	19,638
	El Waq	16,998
	Garbahare	5,586
	Luuq	14,610






# District Level Response

## ii) Livelihood Investment Activity Breakdown

Region	District	Assets	Animal Treatment	Seeds Distribution
Gedo	Bardera	96	9,684	0
	Belet Hawa	144	8,448	25,950
	Dolo	114	3,600	15,924
	El Waq	120	16,878	0
	Garbahare	0	5,586	0
	Luuq	300	5,340	8,970


# Gap Analysis: Improved Access and Safety Net Responses



## Legend

- Region Capital
  - District Boundary
  - ▭ Region Boundary
- May Improved Access and Safety Net Percentage
- 0 to 10 percent
  - 10 to 25 percent
  - 25 to 50 percent
  - 50 to 75 percent
  - 75+ percent

# Gap Analysis: Livelihood Investment Assets Responses



## Legend

- Region Capital
- District Boundary
- Region Boundary

## May Livelihood Assets

### Percentage

- 0 to 10 percent
- 10 to 25 percent
- 25 to 50 percent
- 50 to 75 percent
- 75+ percent


## May Livelihood Assets GAP

### Gap magnitude

- U < 20.000
- U 20.000 to 100.000
- U > 100.000



# Gap Analysis: Livelihood Investment Inputs' Responses



## Legend

- Region Capital
- District Boundary
- Region Boundary

## May Livelihood inputs

### Percentage

- 0 to 10 percent
- 10 to 25 percent
- 25 to 50 percent
- 50 to 75 percent
- 75+ percent

## May Livelihood input GAP

### Gap Magnitude

- U 0 to 20,000
- U 20,000 to 100,000
- U >100,000



# Any Other Business

