

ERM – Paktika 98 IDP HHs caseload - Assessment Report

1. General Information:

Assessment Location: (Province/District/Village)	Paktika Province Assessment. Province: Paktika District: Center Villages: Tatiwall, Batti, Soghri, Shar Goty, Ghazni Adda, Tour Makhi, Yiar Wall, Malikan, Jabar Khail, Ambar Khail, Sherkat Gaz, Emni Khail, Ghundi		
Type of crises: (Conflict/Nat. Disaster/Other)	Conflict-induced displacement		
Crisis Location : (Province/District/Village)	Provinces : Paktika, Kandahar, Ghazni, Nangarhar, Paktia, Uruzgan, Zabul Districts : Della, Khair Kot, Center, Sarobi, Omna, Dehyak, Moqur, Ajerstan, Gomal, Matakhan, Mohmand dara, Ander, Khogiani, Waza khowa, Nawa, Zormat, Terinkot, Malk din nawa, Zerok, Gayan, Naka, Urgon, Yahia Khail, Sha Joi, Sarawza, Char Dewal		
Assessment Team: (Name of I/NGO in the assessment team)	Joint assessment by SI, CTG/WFP, ANDMA, DoRR		
Crisis date: (date of displacement-Estimated)	September 2016 – December 2016		
Date of Notification:	01 /30/2017		
Date of Assessment: (starting date/ending date)	February 1 st to 5 th , 2017		
Affected Population: (Total Caseload: IDP/CAT A/CAT B/Other)	HHS:	Families:	Inds.:
	98	98	649
Data collection method	Electronic	Hardcopy	
		✓	

2. Assessment Findings and Recommendations in brief:

Following the notification received from Paktika DoRR on January 30th, 2017, SI Wardak ERM team organized a trip to Sharana, Paktika province. A coordination meeting was held with SI, CTG/WFP, DoRR, DoRRD, and ANDMA in Paktika provincial center. As a result of the meeting, all participants agreed to conduct joint assessment based on the ERM standard assessment tool (HEAT) in the targeted area. According to the assessment, 98 IDP households were identified as being eligible for further assistance. All households (98) are IDPs: they need food, NFI, WASH, hygiene and shelter support. They were displaced from Paktika, Kandahar, Ghazni, Nangarhar, Paktia, Uruzgan, and Zabul provinces. In total, 649 individuals had been displaced.

Assessment findings:

Total HH	# of family	New born		Children's (1-5)		Adolescents (5-18)		Adults(18-50)		Elder(+50)	
HH	Family	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
98	98	39	22	97	62	104	106	100	114	1	4

Table 1 - Population Overview

Total number of individuals: 649 IDPs

Shelter:

- According to assessment findings, 38% of IDP families are living in tents



- 17% are living with the host community.
- 45% are living in rented houses

WaSH: 100% of assessed families have access to sufficient and safe drinking water. However, 38% of them (37HH) do not have access to latrines, and hygiene is the third main need of 62% of the IDP families.

Recommendations: In total, 98 IDP households were assessed and all of them were recognized as being eligible for SI assistance. According to the beneficiaries needs, following assistance should be provided:

- NFI assistance
- Food assistances
- Sanitation and hygiene assistance
- Shelter assistance

3. Sectorial Issues:

A) Food Security, Nutrition and Livelihood: *(provide detailed information about the impact of food security and livelihood i.e. lost/damaged food stock, current food stock statues, income sources, damaged/destroyed crops and agricultural land, type of agricultural Land, agricultural inputs availability, diet diversity, irrigation system, livestock etc Also describe immediate food and nutritional need and provide recommendation about the short and long term food and nutrition assistance)*

Overall food security situation is precarious, 100% of the families have to adopt negative coping mechanisms when facing difficulties to get food. 37% have less than a week-worth food stock, 13% have 1-3 weeks food stock and 50% do not have any food stocks. The average CSI score of all families is in the most critical category, with 11.6. 100% of men, women and children are affected by these negative coping strategies.

88% of the families rely on daily work, while 12% do not have any income. Their current monthly income as decreased of 64% since their displacement.

9 HHs brought their assets during their displacement and they did not sell their assets. Except for a few households, none have access to livestock or to agricultural land.

Table 2 - Assets Situation

Asset	Brought Asset	Sold asset before	Access to agriculture land	Livestock
Yes	9	0	1	3
No	89	98	97	95

Table 3 - Impact of negative coping strategies

Affected by negative coping strategies	HH
Men	95
women	95
children	94

Recommendation:

Food assistance should be provided to all 98 IDP households through unconditional cash transfer.

B) NFI: *(provide detailed information about NFI items lost due to disasters/crises as well as what type of NFI is needed for how many families and when? NFI can include Cooking items, kitchen items, hygiene items, bedding, clothing, fuel etc.)*

91 out of the 98 IDP households did not bring any assets with them at the time of their displacement. As a result, 100% of assessed families reported that NFIs were their second priority need.



Recommendation:

NFI should be provided to all 98 IDP households. Intervention should be done through Unconditional Cash Transfer as market is fully functioning and accessible. Given the reported needs, IDP families will certainly focus on purchasing Hygiene kits, Blankets and Kitchen kits.

C) Shelter: *(please provide detailed information about the status of shelter condition i.e. type of normal shelters, # of shelters moderately damaged, severely damaged and completely destroyed by crises. How many people live in open space, sheltered with host families, etc. What kind of shelter support/assistance is needed and for how many families). Are there land ownership issues?)*

According to the assessment, 62% of households either live with hosts or in rented houses, while 37 families live under old tents.

Recommendation:

Shelter assistance should be provided in kind (shelter kits) to the 37 families living in tents. SI not having the capacity, DRC will support the distribution by providing in-kind kits while SI will implement the field distribution. Shelter donations will be reported under DRC monthly report.

D) WASH: *(provide detailed information about Water sources, affected water source, sanitation (latrines, used water and solid waste management) and hygiene issues. What is recommended in WASH sector and when?)*

100% of assessed families have access to sufficient and safe drinking water. However, 38% of them (37HH) do not have access to latrines and resort to open defecation as a result. Hygiene is the third main need of 62% of the IDP families.

Recommendation:

As 37HHs do not have access to any latrine, emergency latrines (tools and materials) should be provided to these 37 HHs. All HHs have access to drinking water so there is no need for water support.

A) Protection: *(provide detailed information about protection issues, protection needs, PSN and EVIs (Female H, elderly HHs, child HH, chronically ill members, disable members) and provide precise recommendation regarding IPAs)*

66% of the total assessed population are children, including 1 child-headed households, while 47% are women, including 4 female-headed households.

In addition, a significant number of people with additional vulnerabilities were identified during the assessment, as presented in the table below:

Table 4 - Households and Individuals with specific vulnerabilities

#Elderly Head of HH	#Female Head HH	#Child Head HH	Children Under 5	#Physically Disabled (HH)	if Yes Number of Disabled	#Breastfeeding women (HH)	if yes Number of Women	#Pregnant women (HH)	if Yes Number Pregnant women	#Chronically ill (HH)
0	4	2	2	2	2	60	61	1	1	0

Recommendation:



According to the assessment there are some HHs with additional vulnerabilities for whom an Individual Protection Assessment would be needed.

E) Health: *(provide information about health issues, damaged/destroyed health facilities, current/available health facilities, access to health services and recommended assistance in this regards)*

Child immunization

According to the assessment findings, children of 63 HHs are fully immunized but they do not have immunization card. There were no cases of death and TB reported.

Recommendation: People with specific health needs will be identified at the time of the assistance, and referred to Health organizations in the area.

A) MARKET ASSESSMENT: *(provide summary information regarding the local market, accessibility, security constrains and fill the table if an market assessment has been conducted)*

Market is accessible by 100% of the IDPs and is fully functional. The average distance is 2.5 km with no security constraints reported in terms of access. In addition, the market supply of is stable and secured, with most food and NFI items being available.

h) Other: *provide detailed information about any other issue that is not covered above, this can include, security, infrastructure, coordination & assistance provided by others, problems encountered during the assessment, e etc)*

NTR

4. Urgent Priority needs of affected people (as per affected point of view)

(Provide detailed information about top 3 priority needs of affected population as per the opinion of affected population and provide your recommendation how and when identified needs shall be addressed)

As shown in the table here below, the three main priority needs of the IDP HHs are:

- 1) Food
- 2) NFI
- 3) Hygiene

Table 5 - Main priority needs expressed by HHs

Needs	1st priority		2nd priority		3rd priority		Total mentioned	
	HH #	HH %	HH #	HH %	HH #	HH %	HH #	HH %
WASH	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Shelter	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Food Items	61	62%	0	0%	37	38%	98	33%
Hygiene	0	0%	0	0%	61	62%	61	21%



NFIs	0	0%	98	100%	0	0%	98	33%
Tent + WASH	37	38%	0	0%	0	0%	37	13%
Other/cash	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total respondent (HH #)	98	100%	98	100%	98	100%	294	100%

Challenges:

- Weather conditions were very harsh and access to some area was a bit difficult.
- Finding all HHS was also an issue.

5. Annexes

(Please provide the database collected under the ERM household assessment in electronic form)

- IDP assessment database
[PKK-ERM-1702-03 HEAT database - SI.xlsx](#)

Report written by: Shah Mahmood "Ahmadi", ERM PM, wdk.erm.pm@solidarites-afghanistan.

Date of writing: Feb. - 6th 2017