
# Deir Ez Zor

# PROTECTION SEVERITY RANKING BY SUB-DISTRICT



Severity ranking by sub-districts considered 3 indicators:

i) % of IDPs in the population;

ii) conflict incidents weighted according to the extent of impact; and

iii) population in hard-to-reach communities.

#### Severity ranks

- No problem
- Minor problem
- Moderate problem
- Major problem
- Severe problem
- Critical problem
- Catrastrophic problem

# POPULATION DATA

|                              | Number of ·<br>Locations | 0-4 Years | 5-14 Years | 15-17 Years | Total Children | % of Children | Total Population |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Communities                  | 136                      |           | _          |             |                | _             |                  |
| Overall Population           | _                        | 12%       | 27%        | 6%          | 400K           | 45%           |                  |
| PIN                          | _                        | 12%       | 25%        | 8%          | 329К           | 45%           |                  |
| IDP                          | _                        | 12%       | 27%        | 6%          | 68K            | 45%           | 152K             |
| Hard to Reach Locations      | 135                      | 12%       | 27%        | 6%          | 285K           | 45%           |                  |
| Besieged Locations           | 0                        |           | _          |             |                | _             |                  |
| Military Encircled Locations | 1                        | 12%       | 27%        | 6%          | 37K            | 45%           |                  |

<sup>\*</sup> estimates to support humanitarian planning processes only

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

131 communities (96%) were assessed in Deir-ez-Zor governorate.


- In 7 per cent of assessed communities, respondents reported child labour preventing school attendance was an issue of concern. Both adolescent boys in different age groups 15-17 and 12-14 years were considered equally affected (7%).
- In 99 per cent of assessed communities, respondents reported child recruitment was an issue of concern.

  Adolescent boys (98%) followed by boys <12 years (75%) were considered the most affected child population groups.
- In 74 per cent of assessed communities, respondents reported unaccompanied and separated children was an

issue of concern. Adolescent boys (70%) followed by adolescent girls (8%) were considered most affected child population groups.

- In 100 percent of assessed communities respondents reported that family violence was an issue of concern. Adolescent girls (100%) followed by both girls <12 years and boys <12 years (99%) were considered the most affected child population groups.
- In 87 per cent of assessed communities, respondents reported child marriage was an issue of concern. Both adolescent boys and adolescent girls were considered equally affected (87%).
- In 100 percent of assessed communities, respondents reported lack/ loss of civil documentation was an issue of concern. Adolescent boys (69%) followed by adolescent boys (54%) were considered the most affected child population groups.


# CHILD LABOUR



Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported Child Labour preventing school attendance

Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported Child Labour preventing school attendance; disaggregated by age and sex


# CHILD RECRUITMENT




respondents reported the occurrence of Child Recruitment

# Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Child Recruitment; disaggregated by age and sex

# UNACCOMPANIED AND SEPARATED CHILDREN




Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Family Separation




Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Family Separation; disaggregated by age and sex

# **FAMILY VIOLENCE**




Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Family Violence




Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Family Violence; disaggregated by age and sex

# CHILD MARRIAGE




Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Child Marriage




Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the occurrence of Child Marriage; disaggregated by age and sex

# LACK/ LOSS OF CIVIL DOCUMENTATION (INCLUDING BIRTH REGISTRATION)



Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the Lack/ Loss of Civil Documentation



Percentage of assessed communities in which respondents reported the Lack/ Loss of Civil Documentation; disaggregated by age and sex

("occurrance" refers to protection risks that were described as happening "sometimes", or as being "common" or "very common")