

Comparative framework between “UNICEF Nutrition-WASH toolkit” and “ACF Practical WASH’NUT guidebook”

Subject	Document UNICEF	Page	Document ACF	Page	Comments
Title / sub titles	- Nutrition - WASH Toolkit - Guide for Practical Joint Actions - Nutrition-Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH)	i	- WASH’NUTRITION - A Practical Guidebook on Increasing Nutritional Impact through Integration of WASH and Nutrition Programmes - For Practitioners in Humanitarian and Development Contexts	1	- <i>Guidebook (ACF) vs Toolkit (UNICEF EAPRO)</i> - <i>“Integration” vs “Joint Actions”= rather the same</i>
# pages	86 (viii+78)	i-78	156	1-156	- <i>ACF doc. is double</i>
Date	- June 2016	i	- January 2017	6	- <i>ACF doc. in process since 2015</i>
Logos & specifications	- Front page: UNICEF (bottom right) + EAPRO (bottom left) - Last page: UNICEF (top left) & UE flag (top left) + EAPRO (bottom left)	i	- Front page: ACF (top), EU flag + “funded by EUHACP” (bottom left) and UNICEF (bottom right); - Last page: 17 Agencies + 5 countries, ACF (bottom)	156	- <i>Global (ACF) vs Global/EAPRO (UNICEF)</i>
Preface/Foreword by/audience	- Regional Director EAPRO <i>“I encourage UNICEF colleagues to take maximum advantage of this toolkit as a guide...”</i>	iv	- WHO Health & WASH officials <i>This practical field guide by ACF complements this initial publication [2015 WHO/UNICEF/USAID]... /</i>	9	- <i>Complement (ACF) vs guiding UNICEF COs</i>
Key message	- A child’s growth is hampered by constant exposure to the contamination resulting from poor WASH, and even a well-nourished child may become stunted without safe WASH	iv	- The Development Goals provide an opportunity to target, more effectively, resources and attention on the benefits of safe WASH for nutrition and health, and development more broadly... - In short, no child ought to suffer from undernutrition and through smart, targeted joint action on WASH and nutrition, millions of deaths can be prevented.	9	- <i>Preventing deaths (ACF) vs Stunting UNICEF)</i>
Audience	- The primary audience of this toolkit is UNICEF CO staff in the EAP region - The secondary, but equally important audience, is national and local governments and partners		- For practitioners in humanitarian and development context		- <i>Global/field practitioners (ACF) vs UNICEF EAPRO COs and Partners (UNICEF)</i>
Introduction	- Undernutrition in East Asia and Pacific region - UNICEF’s role in WASH and nutrition - Purpose - Audience - Structure of toolkit		No introduction but: - Acknowledgments to the Peer review group (15 pers. within 3 from UNICEF) and the contributors (16 pers.) - How to use the handbook		- <i>Global peer review (ACF) vs UNICEF EAPRO</i>
Plan	1. Introduction		1. The basics of undernutrition and WASH		- <i>Behavior change experiences (ACF) vs</i>

	<p>2. The interaction between WASH and nutrition</p> <p>3. Situating the toolkit in the East Asia and Pacific region</p> <p>4. Using Theory of Change for integrating WASH and nutrition</p> <p>5. The Guidance</p>		<p>2. Linking nutritional outcomes with the WASH environment</p> <p>3. WASH 'Nutrition strategy and programming</p> <p>4. integrating activities at different levels and contexts</p> <p>5. Monitoring and evaluation of integrated interventions</p> <p>6. Moving towards uptake</p>		<i>Theory of Change (UNICEF EAPRO)</i>
Main specificities / differences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development context and vs Stunting oriented (Humanitarian as a case (p 67)) - Theory of change oriented (quoted > 20 times (in the title of chapter 4)) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - "WASH in Nut" strategy oriented* - Behavior change oriented (quoted > 20 times, namely in the title of chapter 3.4) 	42-62	<p><i>*NB (ACF):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 6 pillars of the (ACF) WASH'NUT strategy (chapter 3, p 42) = 5 same pillars than those of the (Sahel+) WCAR "WASH in Nut" ("WiN") strategy + 1 other pillar (integration) - 3 monitoring tools /minimum package check list at HF/HH/MC (p 151-152) = those of the (Sahel+) WCAR "WASH in Nut" ("WiN") strategy
Case studies / examples	-18 cases studies (in EAPR)	33-65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Frontline examples from over 30 countries - 15 examples in the Programmatic Resource 	1-58 138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Programmatic (ACF) vs Case Studies (UNICEF)</i>
Messages	<p>Process and form highlighted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Establish an advocacy technical working group, e.g., within a working group on WASH and nutrition, to lead on the development of an advocacy plan and common advocacy messages that are specifically targeted to the changes required in the country context. - Figure 21: The advocacy message (from ACF) - Box 9: Choosing the best format to communicate your message (from UNICEF Advocacy Toolkit) 	41 42 42	<p>5 boxes of 25 key messages on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WASH and nutrition at the individual and household level - WASH and nutrition in the communities - integrating WAHS and Nutrition in health centres and schools - integrating WASH and Nutrition at the national level - aligning WASH and Nutrition in emergency contexts <p>Advocacy chapter</p>	83 94 103 106 115 132	<i>Menu of WASH'NUT (ACF) messages vs Advocacy Toolkit (UNICEF)</i>