



Minutes of CCCM Cluster Meeting - Juba 30 March 2016

Co-Chaired by UNHCR, IOM and ACTED

Present: ACTED (Kate Holland, CCCM Cluster Co-Coordinator); UNHCR (Martha Kow Donkor, CCCM Cluster Coordinator); UNHCR (Sabit Juma Ngario Field Ass. CCCM); IOM (Martin Legasse, CCCM Cluster Coordinator Jane Kony-CCCM), Others included) AFOD, Internews, NGO Forum Coordinator, ACTED

Agenda

1. Update from SWG on Bor resettlement/returns
2. GBV Guidelines ToT
3. Update on Code of Conduct for NGOs entering POCs from NGO Forum
4. Request for update from partners on UN House access issues
5. REACH update on hard-to-reach locations monitoring
6. Partner updates
7. Other AoB

- (a) Key updates from the SWG on Bor Resettlement/return and CCCM meeting in Juba
- (b) Updates on SWG received from two levels:
- (c) Bor SWG indicated (1) CM/CCCM not largely included in the UNHCR/RRP spearheaded cash support to IDPs who want to return; (2) There is a disconnect between the understanding by UNHCR in Bor and UNHCR at the national level as regards the cash support being given to facilitate return; (3) 666HHs registered with UNHCR complaint desk of which 22 HHs have been facilitated with a cash support of 3,000 SSP each for transport to their return areas.
- (d) National SWG discussions revealed the need for (1) Guidance and support for sub national SWG; (2) UNHCR protection clarified that the cash support was a protection response in accordance to the needs of their protection caseload and nothing to do with returns; (3) Protection at national level will go to Bor and clarify this; (3) Need for ToRs and reporting structure / linkage with the ICWG/national SWG and HCT to ensure response per location is aligned to the national strategy for Solutions
- (e) There is need for a strategy for returns/resettlement

Action:

- (f) Feedback from Protection currently on ground in Bor on discussions on UNHCR protection response visavi the SWG plans
- (g) CCCM to have a one on one discussion with RRP at the national level (Sam) to lobby for RRP to appreciate the need for CCCM per location to take the co- leadership role with Protection Cluster in the SWG discussions though in an inclusive manner
- (h) Possibility to develop a one pager as regards the UNHCR protection interventions in Bor if there is a failure to reconcile SWG and protection positions going forward

1. GBV Guideline ToT

UNHCR CCCM co –cluster lead spear heading the coordination with global GBV partners provided update that the global cluster will be carrying out training for all clusters inclusive CCCM. For the case of CCCM, out of 25 slots, so far 22 participants had registered. The full day training would take place on 4th April at DRC conference room and thereafter; a half day training for cluster coordinators only would take place on 8th April

2. **Update on Code of Conduct for NGOs entering PoCs from NGO Forum**

NGO forum representation provided an update on the process so far undertaken to find a common position between UNMISS and NGOs on the earlier UNMISS drafted CoC that was to be signed by all NGO staff operating within the UN base. Through the process, the NGO forum has redrafted the CoC which still ensures that the interest of all parties is taken care of and will cover all staff of NGOs and NGO contractors. The document however would then be signed by Country Directors of agencies and not by individual staff members of the agency.

The next steps would be to operationalize the CoC and NGO forum is working with relevant UNMISS sections and NGO CDs to ensure that this is achieved.

3. **Request for update from partners on UN House access issues**

ACTED CCCM Co-cluster lead provided background on UNMISS position to have humanitarian agencies leave the UNMISS UN House space that had been informally agreed to be used by agencies operating in Juba PoCs.

The current position is that all NGOs are out of the UN house and some have relocated to bases within the PoC. This has brought with it numerous challenges inclusive but not limited to (1) reduced numbers of NGO management staff supporting activities on ground; (2) Increased logistical budget for agencies overall etc.

Additionally Internews informed the meeting of a new verbal communication that UNMISS is not allowing pedestrians along the road between PoC 1 and 2 (***Not sure I remember this correctly***).

Action:

- ACTED to provide list of access incidences detailing organisations affected, dates, time and actual access issues at the time.
- CCCM cluster to arrange one on one discussion with RRP (Sam) to find an agreeable win-win for all parties on access issues.

4. **Partner Updates**

General partner updates deferred to the next meeting except for;

DRC that provided brief highlight of the relocation/return of IDPs from UNMISS base in Malakal to the PoCs. Overall spontaneous relocation is taking place in all sectors except Sector 3. Discussions still ongoing on utilization of Dinka blocks, M, N, L, O, P and T

ACTED provided overview of the fight that took place in Juba PoC and the existence of a community led security group called N4.

AFOD provided an over view of an assessment that they carried out in Kerekeka. While the NGO representative did provide background of them having been a CCCM partner for a while, he did not have the facts as far as the assessment is concerned but committed to send to members the report once it is ready.

Action:

- AFOD to send assessment report to CCCM members

5. **REACH Presentation**

REACH Programme Manager presented an initiative of REACH to provide IM on hard to reach places in South Sudan. The overview will periodically provide information on demographics, return population, services available/lacking in return areas among others.

AOB:

Next meeting will be on Wednesday 27th April, 2016.

Every last Wednesday of the Month.