





**20** organizations responded to the survey (**95%**)

The organization you work for is a:



## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring Organizations - Afghanistan


Overall Performance	Score	Performance status
	>75 %	Good
	51 % - 75 %	Satisfactory
	26 % - 50 %	Unsatisfactory
	<26 %	Weak
<hr/>		
1 Supporting service delivery		
<hr/>		
1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities		Satisfactory
1.2 Developing mechanisms that eliminate duplication		Satisfactory
<hr/>		
2 Informing strategy decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team		
<hr/>		
2.1 Needs assessment and gap analysis		Satisfactory
2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues		Satisfactory
2.3 Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis		Satisfactory
<hr/>		
3 Planning and strategy development		
<hr/>		
3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities		Satisfactory
3.2 Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines		Satisfactory
3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs		Satisfactory
<hr/>		
4 Advocacy		
<hr/>		
4.1 Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action		Good
4.2 Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people		Unsatisfactory
<hr/>		
5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results		Satisfactory
<hr/>		
6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters		Satisfactory
<hr/>		
7 Accountability to affected population		Satisfactory



The organization you work for is a:




Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?



How frequently has your organization participated in cluster meetings?



Did the staff who represented your organization at cluster meetings have decision-making authority and were they able to follow-up on decisions made?






Could your organization participate fully in cluster meetings?  
(For example, were meetings in accessible locations?  
Could participants speak in a range of languages?)



Have cluster meetings been able to identify and discuss needs, gaps and response priorities?







Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?




Has your cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)? Has your organization contributed?



Has your organization contributed to analyses by the cluster of gaps and overlaps (capacity and complementarity), derived from this mapping?




Has your organization taken decisions based on the cluster's analysis of gaps and overlaps (capacity and complementarity), derived from this mapping?






### Has your organization used sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance agreed by cluster's partners?




### Has your organization been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessment and surveys?









Has your organization shared reports of its surveys and assessments with the cluster?




Has your organization done situation analyses together with cluster partners?



Have these analyses identified risks?




Have these analyses identified needs?




Have these analyses identified  
Gaps in response?




Have these analyses  
identified Capacity to respond?




Have these analyses identified constraints to respond?




Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Age]




Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Gender]




Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Diversity (other than age and gender)]




Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Human Rights]




Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Protection (incl. sexual and gender-based violence)]



Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Environment]




Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [HIV/AIDS]







Have these analyses considered cross cutting issues? [Disability]



Have these analyses supported response planning and prioritization?



Has your organization helped to develop a cluster strategic plan?




Has the cluster strategic plan guided the response of your organization in the last 6 months?






Have cluster partners agreed technical standards and guidance and has your organization applied them?




Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals under the strategic plan. Were transparent criteria agreed?






Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?




How often has the cluster coordinator reported on the cluster's funding status against needs?








Have issues requiring advocacy been identified and discussed together with your organization?




Has your organization participated in cluster advocacy activities?






Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced your organization's decisions?




Has your organization used programme monitoring and reporting formats agreed by the cluster?




Has your cluster taken account of the distinct needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys in its response and monitoring?



Has your organization helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?




Has your organization committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated?



Have cluster partners agreed mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making? Has your organization applied them?



Have cluster partners agreed mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints by affected people? Does your organization apply these mechanisms?





**HEALTH  
CLUSTER**


Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring  
Afghanistan



**THANK YOU**



### Organization currently participating in the cluster



**16** INGO/NGOs

**Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?**

**The list has been updated as often as needed**

**Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?**

**Quite satisfied**

**Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?**

**Minutes with action points have been taken at most meetings**

**Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?**

**Most attended, including major actors**



**Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities**

**They have been somewhat useful**

**Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?**

**I have always attended meetings**

**Has the cluster supported or engaged with coordination mechanisms of national authorities in its sector?**

**National coordination representatives co-chair cluster meetings**

**Could members and observers participate fully in cluster meetings? (For example, did meetings occur in accessible locations? Were participants able to speak in a range of languages?)**

**It was somewhat difficult to attend/participate in cluster meetings**

**Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?**

**Strategic decisions were taken and they were very useful**

**Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?**

**Mapping was done and always updated as often as required**

**How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?**

**Most**

**Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners's geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners's decisions?**

**Analysis has been done and has been used by most partners for decision making**

**Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?**

**The cluster has agreed tools and guidance and most partners have used them**

**Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?**

**Partners have been involved in all coordinated assessments**

**Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?**

**Survey and assessment reports have been shared by a few partners**

**Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?**

**Yes**

Have these analyses identified risks?

**Mostly identified**

Have these analyses identified needs?

**Mostly identified**

Have these analyses identified gaps in response?

**Mostly identified**

Have these analyses identified capacity to respond?

**Mostly identified**

Have these analyses identified constraints on response?

**Mostly identified**

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Age]

**Mostly considered**

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Gender]

**Mostly considered**

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Diversity (other than age and gender)]

**Partially considered**

**Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Human Rights]**

**Not considered**

**Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Protection (including sexual and gender-based violence)]**

**Partially considered**

**Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Environment]**

**Not considered**

**Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[HIV/AIDS]**

**Not considered**

**Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?  
[Disability]**

**Partially considered**

**Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?**

**Yes**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives,  
activities and indicators?**

**To some extent**

**Has the cluster's strategic plan been reviewed against the  
host government's strategy?**

**Do not know**

**Did cluster partners help to develop the cluster's strategic  
plan?**

**Cluster partners were involved to a large extent in  
developing the plan**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [Age]**

**Partially addressed**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [Gender]**

**Mostly addressed**

**Does the Cluster's response plan address any of these cross-cutting issues? [Diversity (other than age and gender)]**

**Partially addressed**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [Human Rights]**

**Partially addressed**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [Protection (including sexual and gender-based violence)]**

**Mostly addressed**



**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [Environment]**

**Partially addressed**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [HIV/AIDS]**

**Partially addressed**

**Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues? [Disability]**

**Mostly addressed**

**Does the sectoral strategic plan show synergies with other sectors, in line with the strategic objectives of the HCT?**

**The cluster's strategic plan addresses synergies with other clusters to a large extent**

**During the last six months, how many partners have used the cluster's strategic plan to guide their response?**

**Most**

**Have partners helped to identify deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy for the cluster?**

**Deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy have been identified with some partners**

**Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?**

**Standards and guidance have been identified, adapted and shared**

**Have technical standards and guidance been agreed and have partners used them?**

**Technical standards and guidance have been agreed and all partners have used them**

**Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?**

**Transparent criteria were agreed and partners jointly prioritized proposals to some extent**

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?

**Proposals were prioritized in a manner that was fair to the majority of partners**

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?

**The cluster has given partners good support**

How often have you reported on the funding status of the cluster against needs?

**As often as needed**

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?

**The cluster has identified advocacy issues in consultation with most partners**

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?

**Advocacy activities have been agreed and most partners have taken part in them**

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?

**Standards have been agreed and most partners have reported regularly**

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?

**Information has been shared and has been taken into account fully**

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response?

**Almost as often as needed**

Have cluster bulletins or updates been published?

**Almost as often as needed**

Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced decisions?

**Changes in needs, risks and gaps have been highlighted and have often been used for decision-making**

Has your cluster taken into account the distinct needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys, in its response and monitoring?

**Mostly**

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?

A national plan has been identified but the cluster has not discussed it

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?

Risk assessment has been done and some partners have participated

Have cluster partners helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?

Preparedness plans have been written/updated and some partners have participated

**Have cluster partners committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated?**

**Few partners have committed staff or resources that can be mobilized**

**Have you regularly shared and discussed early warning reports with cluster partners?**

**Early warning reports have often been shared**

**Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?**

**Mechanisms for consulting/involving affected people have been agreed and some partners have applied them**

**Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?**

**An investigation/complaint mechanism has been agreed and some partners have applied them**



**HEALTH  
CLUSTER**

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring  
Coordinator - Afghanistan



**THANK YOU**