TURKANA SECONDARY DATA REVIEW AS AT MARCH 2014

Introduction

This document is a baseline for humanitarian needs assessment in Turkana with an aim to provide information that would aid in decision making. The information presented has been gathered through a review of pre-crisis secondary data, studies and lessons learnt reports. Available indicator information is by Sub County, county and national (where there is no available sub-county/county information). This desk review is to help in the process of assessment planning for humanitarian needs assessment.

Purpose of the Desk review

This desk review is not a comprehensive survey on food security, livelihoods, WASH, protection e.t.c nor is it an inter-agency needs assessment. It is a process in which a secondary literature and data has been used to uncover any relevant information of use and guidance for the preparation of the in-crisis primary data collection.
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Demography of Population

- Turkana County constitutes 2.2% of the national population ranked 18/47. 52% of the population are male while 48% are female Table 1
- The county shares 14.2% of the national urban population ranked 33/47 CRA 2011
- Lodwar town has the largest urban population taking 6% of the county’s population, Kakuma 4% and Lokichogio 2%.
- It has a population density of 562.8 which is higher than the national population density of 401.1 per square kilometer, with 6.9 people in every km 2 see Table 1
- The rate of poverty (adult equivalent poverty head count) is 94.3% higher than the national rate of 45.9% and its ranked 47/47 CRA 2011
- The average household size in Turkana is 6.9, 1.6 higher than the national household size of 4.4. Table 1.
- The county’s age distribution is 46.0% between 0-14 years, 51.6% (15–64 yrs) and 2.4% are above 65 years old CRA 2011. 12.88% of the population is under the age of 5 years and 29% are between the ages of 0-9 years.
- It is estimated that the county’s deprived child population is 75.81%. 4
- Kenya has a gender index of 0.25% for 2012, and a Human Development Index score of 0.509 for 2011, placing it at 143 out of 187 countries. SIGI, 2012

---

1 Data from Kenya county Fact sheet by CRA
2 Basic Report on Well-being in Kenya (KIHBs 2005)
3 Basic Report on Well-being in Kenya (KIHBs 2005)/ 2009 population and housing census
4 SPME CDI by county
In 2011, the national birth rate per 1,000 was 37.6 (World Bank, 2011), and the annual population growth rate was 2.67% (World bank, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th># Households</th>
<th>Area in Sq.Km</th>
<th>Population Density</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turkana</td>
<td>123,191</td>
<td>1,520.00</td>
<td>562.8</td>
<td>445,069</td>
<td>410,330</td>
<td>855,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>8,767,104</td>
<td>96,252.00</td>
<td>601.1</td>
<td>19,192,458</td>
<td>19,417,639</td>
<td>38,610,097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Population statistics Turkana (Source: KNBS 2012, Source 2009 Census, CRA 2011)

Area Profile

Geography, climate and economy
- The county borders Garissa to the North, Isiolo to the NorthWest, lamu to the Northeast, Kilifi to the Southeast, Taita Taveta to the South and Kitui to the West (CRA 2011).
- The county has 6 sub counties namely Turkana North, Turkana West, Turkana Central, Loima, Turkana South and Turkana East (CRA 2011).
- The main economic activity is livestock farming which is considered as a stock in trade in Turkana and wealth. There is fair level of basket weaving and Fish trade (CRA 2011).
- The county has oil deposits discovered in Ngamia 1 by Tillow oil, the findings could bring both blessings (revenue) and curses (conflict) within and outside the county (CRA 2011).
- The county has warm and hot climate, unreliable Annual Rainfall ranging between 300mm and 450mm per annum (CRA 2011).

The gross national income per capita was $820 in 2010. World Bank, 2013.

Kenya’s inflation rate stood at 4.45% in February 2013 (Trading Economics).

Socio-cultural characteristics
- The county is inhabited by these ethnic groups Pokots and Tugens in the south and Samburus and Boranas to the East (CRECO 2012).
- Cattle rustling is prevalent during initiation rites of passage when young men raid for livestock (CRECO 2012).

Governance and coordination
- The county is served by magistrates’ courts based in Lodwar. Appeals to high court are handled by High court in Kitale. Some conflicts are usually resolved through self-help means (CRECO 2012).
- There are 2 local authorities; Municipal council of Lodwar and county council of Turkana. Lodwar town is the administrative unit (CRA 2011).

Vulnerable Groups
- Poor families who are below the poverty line (7).
- Early girl child marriages and pregnancies which limits them to access to education rights (FS 2013).
- Cultural and economic practices such as herding and migratory lifestyles blurred the existence of child labor (8).
- Children under the age of 5 years with high level of malnutrition rates (9).

---

5 http://knbs.or.ke/countydata.php
7 Basic Report on Well-being in Kenya KIHBS 2005/06
Hazards and Historic Sources of Conflict

Shocks and Hazards
- Hot, dry and unreliable rainfall pattern CRECO 2012
- The county is prone to fermine/drought, conflict and floods CRECO 2012
- Drying up and shrinking of Lake Turkana and creation of dams upstream by Ethiopia government which would affect fishing CRECO 2012
- Water logging on parts of Agro pastoral areas during rain seasons SRA 2013
- Locust invasion during planting seasons SRA 2013
- Turkana is classified as a high hazard probability (HHP) of Flood, Conflict and drought WESCOOD KAP survey 2013

Historic triggers/Sources of tensions
- Cycles of cattle rustling between communities in Turkana, neighbouring counties and across border CRA 2011
- Sever water shortage during dry seasons leads conflicts as households scramble for what’s available FS profile
- Misuse of small arms increases pastoralists conflicts in Turkana FS 2013
- Ethnic conflicts over natural resources such as water an pasture CRECO 2012

Security Issues
- Proliferation of small arms through its porous borders has had implications to conflicts CRECO 2012

Livelihoods and Food Security

Livelihoods
- Majority of the population are pastoralist, communities along lake Turkana do fisheries and another tiny portion practising agro-pastoralism CRECO 2012

Food Insecurity
- The county is known to be one of the food insecure places and its currently classified as " (IPC Phase 2) (stressed) FEWSNET
- The following Coping mechanisms are employed; wild fruits, Charcoal burning, increased livestock sales and sharing of food, FS 2013

Current factors affecting food security in the area
- Conflict and insecurity in the county (cattle rustling and banditry).
- Poor road infrastructure.
- High food commodity prices in the county.
- Rainfall Performance
- Reduced livestock productivity
- Locust infestation
- Sporadic outbreak of livestock diseases
- High poverty levels
- Influx of livestock from neighbouring counties FS 2013

Health and Nutrition

Health
55.32% of the hospitals in the county are public and are government owned. The ratio of Doctor to population is 1:52,434 CRA 2011

9 people of every 10,000 population in Turkana have TB lower than the national count of 39 ranked 5/47 see Table 2

8.9% of the population are HIV + ante-natal care patients ranked 42/47 and 3% higher than the national population on care see Table 2

The HIV prevalence in the county is 6.2% higher than the national HIV prevalence of 6.1%. ^14

54% fully immunized children (0 – 60 months) is below the average national fully immunized population of 83.0%. ^15

National life expectancy at birth is 57.5 for males and 56.3 for females [World bank, 2012]

The national maternal mortality ratio per 100,000 births is below the average national maternal mortality population of 83.0%. ^15

Had all vaccinations 66.7 (36) 75
Stunting (6-60 months) 65.8 (16) 59.8

Table 2 Health coverage indicators by county (CRA, 2011)
Figures shown are percentage of population, figure in brackets indicates the rank compared across all 47 counties. * indicates Kenya county average

Morbidity and mortality

The most prevalent condition is malnutrition CRA 2011

The infant mortality rate is 60 in every 1000 and 12 in every 1000 under five CRA 2011

Infant mortality rate is 60/1000/day while the rate of under 5 mortality rate is 12/1000/day. ^16

Nutrition

Global Acute Malnutrition of 17.2% and 16.5% in Central and South Turkana classified as critical and 9.7% in Turkana West classified as poor. Moderate Severe Acute Malnutrition of 3.9%, 2.7% and 2.0% in Central, South and west Turkana respectively as at July 2013. ^17

22% and 18.3% of children have medium stunting growth in Central and West Turkana, high stunting 30.2% in Turkana South. ^18

WASH

Access to water

43.7% of the population have access to safe water, 10.4% lower than national access to safe water. ^19

---

^13 Health facility Master List 2012
^14 Basic report on Well-being in Kenya KIHBS 2005/06
^15 District Information Health Systems (DHIS) 2012

---

^16 http://www.myaspirantmyleader.com/10-counties/65-turkana-county.html
^17 Nutrition SMART survey summary 2013
^18 Nutrition SMART survey summary 2013
Turkana has a water poverty index of 43.5 with less than 20% of the population having access to 20 litres per person per day. Water is mainly sourced from Lake Turkana, Kerio valley, Turkwell and Sugita River. Some of these sources are seasonal and communities also get water from dams, boreholes and wells which are not evenly distributed in the county. The average price of water is 3-5 KES per 20 litre jerican, households access water from a distance of 1.3-3.5Kms one way and the water waiting time is 30 minutes.

Sanitation and hygiene

There is improved sanitation in 17.8% households 70% way below the national rate of 87.8% see Table 3. The county has a sanitation poverty index of 59.1 ranked at the top most and a hygiene poverty index of 66.2. Nomadic pastoralist of life had led to little sanitation demand, hence little latrine coverage. 71.3% deficate in bushes while 28.7% own latrines. 74.3% of households have improved source of drinking water ranked 46/47,7.8% above the national rate of 66.5% see Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Turkana</th>
<th>Kenya*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved water (% households 2009)</td>
<td>74.3 (15)</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Access to improved water and sanitation by County (CRA, 2011)
Figure shown are percentage of population, figure in brackets indicates the rank compared across all 47 counties. * indicates Kenya county average

Education

The county has 202 primary schools and 19 secondary schools. The ratio of Teacher to Pupil in primary school is 1:51 and 1:27.7 in secondary schools. Majority of the population 71.0% have primary education, 9.5% secondary education see Table 4. 18.1% of the county's population can read and write ranking the county at 46/47, 39.0% at the age of 15-18 years are attending school see Table 4. School drop outs results from long distance to schools, children moving with their parents in search of food and pasture. Girls tend to stay away from school due to early marriages and early pregnancies. The national literacy rate for adults is 87.4% (90.6% for males, 84.2% for females), and the youth literacy rate is 92% (91.7% for males, 93.7% for females). World Bank, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Turkana</th>
<th>Kenya</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population with primary education (%)</td>
<td>71.0 (13)</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population with secondary education (%)</td>
<td>9.5 (39)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can read &amp; write (10-14 months)</td>
<td>18.1 (46)</td>
<td>66.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending School, 15-18 years</td>
<td>39.0 (47)</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: County Education coverage (CRA, 2011)
Figures shown are percentage of population, figure in brackets indicates the rank compared across all 47 counties. * indicates Kenya county average.
### Existing Partners (3W)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>WHO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WASH</td>
<td>UNICEF, GoK (MoE, DWO), Diocese of Lodwar (DoL), OXFAM, FAO, The Salvation Army, Practical Action, WRK, KRCS, WVK, IRC, LWF, VSF/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUTRITION</td>
<td>IRC, WVK, Merlin, DoL, Childfund, UNICEF, WRK, GoK(MoH, MoPHS), Amref, World Relief, OXFAM, WFP, EgPAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGRICULTURE &amp; LIVESTOCK</td>
<td>GoK, MoLD, IOM, VSF-Belgium, UNDP, MOA/WB, FAO, World Relief, LWF, KRCS, OXFAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOOD AID</td>
<td>WFP / TRP / Child Fund, WVK, GoK (MOPHS, MOMS) Merlin, IRC, The Salvation Army, DoL, Child Fund, Don Bosco, KRCS, OXFAM, USADF, VSF, UNDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH</td>
<td>MoPHs, MoH, IOM, EgPAF, Diocese of Lodwar (DoL), IRC, Amref, Merlin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td>GoK, UNICEF, KRCS WFP, MoWI, MoE, WVK, WFP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Governance: Practical Action is carrying out Governance activities in: Turkwel, Kalokol, Loima, Central, and Kerio.

Disaster Risk Reduction: LWF is carrying out DRR activities in: Lokichoggio, Oropoi, and Kakuma. UNDP is carrying out activities in: Kakuma, Oropoi, Lokichoggio, through Kenya Drought Recovery Project.

Table 5: Turkana 3W, source: UNOCHA

#### Maps

[Figure 1 Turkana County Livelihood](#) Source: WFP/VAM, June 2005

### Key Background Resources

- [https://kenya.humanitarianresponse.info/local-themes/kira](https://kenya.humanitarianresponse.info/local-themes/kira)
- [http://www.childinfo.org/](http://www.childinfo.org/)

---

23 file:///C:/KIRA/KIRA%20migration%20to%20HR%20info/To%20Human%20resources%20website/Data/3W/KEN_Turkana_Interventions_01March2013%20copy.pdf
Methodology – This desk review presents estimations of scale and severity. It aims to inform decision making for preparedness and emergency response and intends to complement and integrate assessment-related data from other agencies. Feedback to improve the SDR is welcome (ondiek@un.org)

Disclaimer – Information provided is provisional as it has not been possible to independently verify field reports. As this report covers highly dynamic subject, utility of the information may decrease with time.