<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Number of sub-districts</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>People in Need</th>
<th>IDPs</th>
<th>Returnees</th>
<th>In Besieged/ Militarily encircled locations</th>
<th>In Hard-to-reach locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No problem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor problem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate problem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12,535</td>
<td>11,787</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major problem</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94,476</td>
<td>82,188</td>
<td>23,024</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe problem</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>284,156</td>
<td>223,143</td>
<td>102,317</td>
<td>4,822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical problem</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>507,743</td>
<td>384,185</td>
<td>204,247</td>
<td>9,152</td>
<td>6,148</td>
<td>14,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic problem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. SECTOR SEVERITY RANKING BY SUB-DISTRICT

3. CONFLICT INCIDENTS
Number of reported conflict incidents by type (from 1 January 2015 to 31 July 2017)

HFA - Handheld fire arms; HWF - Heavy weapon fire; IED - Improvised explosive device
4. PROTECTION ISSUES

a. Occurrence of protection issues

i. Analysis coverage (Number of communities covered in the analysis and number of those communities reporting occurrence of protection issues)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Covered in the analysis</th>
<th>Reported as occurring (at least sometimes)</th>
<th>Total number of communities in the Governorate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack/loss of civil documents</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child labour preventing school attendance</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early marriage</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazards*</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic exploitation</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping/Abduction</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing/land/property issues</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child recruitment</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazards*</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic exploitation</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping/Abduction</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Percentage of covered communities reporting protection issues as occurring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack/loss of civil documents</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child labour preventing school attendance</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early marriage</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing/land/property issues</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child recruitment</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazards*</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic exploitation</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping/Abduction</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For explosive hazards indicator: this % applies to communities located in sub-districts where 99% of conflict incidents in the country, have taken place since January 2015.
b. Overview of Protection issues with frequency of occurrence

i. Graphs showing percentage out of communities that were covered in the analysis, using two different aggregation options across population groups

**HIGHEST FREQUENCY** occurrence as per one or more population groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child labour preventing school attendance</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child recruitment</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early marriage</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic exploitation</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazards</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing/land/property issues</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping/Abduction</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack/loss of civil documents</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AVERAGE FREQUENCY** across population groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child labour preventing school attendance</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child recruitment</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early marriage</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic exploitation</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazards</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing/land/property issues</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping/Abduction</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack/loss of civil documents</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii. Graphs showing percentage out of communities that were covered in the analysis, by population group

**Persons with disabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child labour preventing school attendance</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child recruitment</td>
<td>2018 PROTECTION NEEDS OVERVIEW</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early marriage</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic exploitation</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazards</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing/land/property issues</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping/Abduction</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack/loss of civil documents</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ii. Graphs showing percentage out of communities that were covered in the analysis, by population group - contd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Domestic violence</th>
<th>Economic exploitation</th>
<th>Explosive hazards</th>
<th>Family separation</th>
<th>Harassment</th>
<th>Housing/land/property issues</th>
<th>Kidnapping/Abduction</th>
<th>Lack/loss of civil documents</th>
<th>Sexual harassment</th>
<th>Sexual violence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very common issue</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common issue</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never happens</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Domestic violence</th>
<th>Economic exploitation</th>
<th>Explosive hazards</th>
<th>Family separation</th>
<th>Harassment</th>
<th>Housing/land/property issues</th>
<th>Kidnapping/Abduction</th>
<th>Lack/loss of civil documents</th>
<th>Sexual harassment</th>
<th>Sexual violence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very common issue</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common issue</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never happens</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adolescent boys</th>
<th>Child labour preventing school attendance</th>
<th>Child recruitment</th>
<th>Domestic violence</th>
<th>Early marriage</th>
<th>Economic exploitation</th>
<th>Explosive hazards</th>
<th>Family separation</th>
<th>Harassment</th>
<th>Housing/land/property issues</th>
<th>Kidnapping/Abduction</th>
<th>Lack/loss of civil documents</th>
<th>Sexual harassment</th>
<th>Sexual violence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very common issue</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common issue</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never happens</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ii. Graphs showing percentage out of communities that were covered in the analysis, by population group - contd

**Adolescent girls**

- Very common issue:
  - 36%
  - 96%
  - 47%
  - 14%
  - 69%
  - 61%
  - 65%
  - 84%
  - 90%
  - 96%
  - 77%
  - 81%

- Common issue:
  - 54%
  - 34%
  - 54%
  - 54%
  - 25%
  - 35%
  - 32%
  - 15%
  - 9%
  - 3%
  - 17%
  - 16%

- Sometimes:
  - 10%
  - 3%
  - 13%
  - 32%
  - 5%
  - 4%
  - 25%
  - 1%
  - 1%
  - 1%
  - 1%
  - 1%

- Never happens:
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 4.4%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%

**Boys**

- Very common issue:
  - 22%
  - 83%
  - 54%
  - 66%
  - 73%
  - 59%
  - 69%
  - 96%
  - 96%
  - 90%
  - 91%

- Common issue:
  - 66%
  - 32%
  - 31%
  - 22%
  - 35%
  - 30%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 43%
  - 5%
  - 7%

- Sometimes:
  - 13%
  - 17%
  - 10%
  - 4%
  - 0%
  - 4%
  - 11%
  - 7%
  - 10%
  - 4%
  - 2%

- Never happens:
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 4.4%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%

**Girls**

- Very common issue:
  - 49%
  - 97%
  - 51%
  - 58%
  - 75%
  - 61%
  - 70%
  - 90%
  - 96%
  - 54%
  - 85%
  - 88%

- Common issue:
  - 47%
  - 33%
  - 35%
  - 35%
  - 21%
  - 35%
  - 29%
  - 10%
  - 4%
  - 42%
  - 4%
  - 10%

- Sometimes:
  - 11%
  - 7%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%
  - 4%

- Never happens:
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%

**2018 PROTECTION NEEDS OVERVIEW**
c. Analysis per protection issue across population groups (with frequency of occurrence)

CHILD LABOUR PREVENTING SCHOOL ATTENDANCE

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated (average frequency)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated (highest frequency)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent boys</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent girls</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with disabilities</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHILD RECRUITMENT

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups).

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated (average</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated (highest</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent boys</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent girls</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with disabilities</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EARLY MARRIAGE

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)

![Map of communities by frequency of occurrence](image)

### Frequency of occurrence
- Never happens
- Sometimes
- Common issue
- Very common issue
- Not covered in analysis

### Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>0.9%</th>
<th>0.9%</th>
<th>0.9%</th>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>0.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated (average</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated (highest</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent boys</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent girls</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with disabilities</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ECONOMIC EXPLOITATION

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
EXPLOSIVE HAZARDS

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
FAMILY SEPARATION

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
HARASSMENT

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)

- Very common issue
- Common issue
- Sometimes
- Never happens

Aggregated (average frequency)

- 83% 83% 96% 85% 95% 84% 96% 90% 92%
- 17% 14% 4% 12% 5% 15% 4% 10% 6%

Aggregated (highest frequency)

- 83% 83% 96% 85% 95% 84% 96% 90% 92%
- 17% 14% 4% 12% 5% 15% 4% 10% 6%

Frequency of occurrence
- Never happens
- Sometimes
- Common issue
- Very common issue
- Not covered in analysis
HOUSING, LAND AND PROPERTY ISSUES

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
KIDNAPPING

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
LACK/LOSS OF CIVIL DOCUMENTS

i. Map of communities by frequency of occurrence across population groups (using highest frequency as per one or more population groups)

ii. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

i. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)

SEXUAL VIOLENCE

i. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)

SEXUAL HARASSMENT

i. Percentage of communities by frequency of occurrence (across population groups and per population group)
5. COPING MECHANISMS

i. Analysis coverage
Number of communities covered in the analysis and number of communities reporting use of coping mechanism (i.e. used at least “sometimes” or more)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coping Mechanism</th>
<th>Covered in the analysis</th>
<th>Reported as used (at least sometimes)</th>
<th>Total number of communities in Governorate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Begging</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children dropping out of school to work</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in illegal activities (i.e. theft, smuggling)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricting movements of women and girls</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relying on humanitarian assistance</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing community services (community centres, women centres)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early marriage</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii. Percentage of covered communities reporting the use of coping mechanisms by frequency of occurrence
Across population groups
Graph a: Taking the highest frequency of occurrence at community level

Graph b: Taking the average frequency of occurrence at community level
ii. Percentage of covered communities reporting the use of coping mechanisms by frequency of occurrence - contd

By population groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coping Mechanism</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Graph d: Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Begging</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing community services (community centres, women centres)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begging</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in illegal activities (i.e theft, smuggling)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing services (community centres, women centres)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in illegal activities (i.e theft, smuggling)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricting movements of women and girls</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relying on humanitarian assistance</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relying on humanitarian assistance</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relying on humanitarian assistance</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relying on humanitarian assistance</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>限制women andgirls</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing community services (community centres, women centres)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/community support</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2018 PROTECTION NEEDS OVERVIEW
iii. Map of top three coping mechanisms used per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)

NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.
6. MOVEMENT RESTRICTIONS

a. Causes of Movement restrictions

i. Analysis coverage

Number of communities covered by the analysis and number of communities reporting causes of movement restrictions as occurring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Covered in the analysis</th>
<th>Reported as occurring (as least sometimes)</th>
<th>Total number of communities in the Governorate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activities of armed groups</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkpoints</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curfews</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General violence</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of identity documents</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of explosive hazards</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules imposed by concerned authorities</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening processes</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii. Percentage of communities reporting causes of movement restrictions (by frequency of occurrence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activities of armed groups</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkpoints</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curfews</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General violence</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of identity documents</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of explosive hazards</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules imposed by concerned authorities</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening processes</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iii. Percentage of communities reporting specific causes, out of those reporting occurrence of movement restrictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Percentage Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening processes</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of armed groups</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules imposed by concerned authorities</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curfews</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkpoints</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of identity documents</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of explosive hazards</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General violence</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
iv. Map of top three causes of movement restrictions per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)

NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.
b. Population groups affected by movement restrictions

i. Analysis coverage

Number of communities covered by the analysis and number of communities reporting population groups affected by movement restrictions (by occurrence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Covered in the analysis</th>
<th>Reported as occurring (as least sometimes)</th>
<th>Total number of communities in Syria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persons with disabilities</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (&lt;18) moving alone</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (&lt;18) moving with a companion</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women moving alone</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women moving with a companion</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People without civil documentation</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPs</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii. Percentage of communities reporting population groups affected by movement restrictions (by frequency of occurrence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Very common issue</th>
<th>Common issue</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Never happens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persons with disabilities</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (&lt;18) moving alone</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (&lt;18) moving with a companion</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women moving alone</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women moving with a companion</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys (&lt;18)</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People without civil documentation</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPs</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iii. Percentage of communities reporting specific affected population groups, out of those reporting occurrence of movement restrictions

- Men: 58%
- People without civil documentation: 53%
- Women moving alone: 48%
- Boys (<18): 47%
- Girls (<18) moving alone: 47%
- IDPs: 45%
- Girls (<18) moving with a companion: 38%
- Women moving with a companion: 35%
iv. Map of top three population groups affected by movement restrictions per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)

NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.
7. PROTECTION SERVICES

i. Analysis coverage (Number of communities covered by the analysis and number of communities reporting needs of protection services (i.e. “present but insufficient” or “not present but needed”)

Graph a: Taking the most severe status of needs and presence

Graph b: Taking the average status of needs and presence
### ii. Percentage of communities reporting presence and needs of protection services across population groups - contd

#### By population group

#### Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Needed but not present</th>
<th>Present but insufficient</th>
<th>Present and sufficient</th>
<th>Not present and not needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community centres</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazard risk education</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for civil documentation</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for Housing, Land and Property issues</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support services</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational activities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care mechanisms/services for elderly persons</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services for persons with disabilities</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Needed but not present</th>
<th>Present but insufficient</th>
<th>Present and sufficient</th>
<th>Not present and not needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community centres</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women and girls centres</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazard risk education</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for civil documentation</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for Housing, Land and Property issues</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support services</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational activities</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care mechanisms/services for elderly persons</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services for persons with disabilities</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Boys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Needed but not present</th>
<th>Present but insufficient</th>
<th>Present and sufficient</th>
<th>Not present and not needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community centres</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazard risk education</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for civil documentation</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for Housing, Land and Property issues</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support services</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection services for children</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational activities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services for persons with disabilities</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Girls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Needed but not present</th>
<th>Present but insufficient</th>
<th>Present and sufficient</th>
<th>Not present and not needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community centres</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women and girls centres</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive hazard risk education</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for civil documentation</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal services for Housing, Land and Property issues</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support services</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment for survivors of sexual violence/domestic violence</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection services for children</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational activities</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services for persons with disabilities</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
iii. Map of top three protection services needed per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting need)

NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as needed irrespective of presence. They are NOT indicative of the severity of need. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.
8. CONCERNS/PROBLEMS DURING DELIVERY OF HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE

i. Analysis coverage

Number of communities covered by the analysis and number of communities reporting concerns regarding how humanitarian assistance was delivered in the last three months (by occurrence)

- Request for civil documentation to access assistance: 89
- Assistance given is not what the community needs: 90
- Sexual harassment: 87
- Discrimination/exclusion: 89
- Humanitarian assistance is not free/ Money is asked in exchange for assistance: 86
- Request of sexual favour/ exploitation in exchange for humanitarian assistance: 88

ii. Number of covered communities reporting concerns reg. the way humanitarian assistance was delivered in the last 3 months by frequency of occurrence

a. Across population groups (For categories which required aggregation, highest frequency was adopted)
ii. Number of covered communities reporting concerns reg. the way humanitarian assistance was delivered in the last 3 months by frequency of occurrence - contd

b. By population groups

Humanitarian assistance is not free/ Money is asked in exchange for assistance

Request of sexual favour/ exploitation in exchange for humanitarian assistance

Request for civil documentation to access assistance

Sexual harassment

iii. Number of covered communities having reported discrimination as a concern reg. the way humanitarian assistance was delivered in the last three months identifying population groups affected by discrimination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Divorced women</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men living alone</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widows</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women living alone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls head of household</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women head of household</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old persons</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied boys</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied girls</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys head of household</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons with disabilities (HA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
iv. Map of top three concerns with delivery of humanitarian assistance in the last three months per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)

NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.
### 9. CIVIL DOCUMENTATION

#### a. Reasons for not having civil documentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concerns of approaching authority</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left behind when fleeing</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired document</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Could not afford it</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoS Services not available</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confiscated</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling/legal services not available</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never had it</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another family member has possession of it</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didn’t attempt to obtain it</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### b. Type of document that is not possible to be obtained in the community

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passport</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Booklet</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel authorisation document</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian ID</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil record (extract)</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage Certificate</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deed/Tabou</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval for lease agreement</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorce record</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability ID</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death Certificate</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence support document</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth Certificate</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### c. Impact of not having civil documentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannot register birth/marriage/death</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted freedom of movement</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to access humanitarian assistance</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannot register land/access transactions</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannot claim property</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to access basic services</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrest</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d. Map of top three reasons for not having civil documentation, per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)

NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.

Reasons for not having civil documentation (Top 3 reported by most % communities in the sub-district):
- Concerns of approaching authority
- GoS Services not available
- Counselling/legal services not available
- Never had it
- Didn’t attempt to obtain it
- Another family member has possession of it
- Expired document
- Could not afford it
- Confiscated
- Left behind when fleeing
- Lost

Communities where lack/loss of civil documentation is
- Reported
- NOT reported
- Not covered in analysis
NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.

ii. Map of top three types of documents that are not possible to obtain in the community, per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)
10. HOUSING, LAND AND PROPERTY ISSUES

i. Concerns regarding Housing, land and property (HLP)

- Percentage of communities, identifying specific HLP concerns, by frequency of occurrence, out of those reporting the occurrence of HLP issues.

- Top three concerns are reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each concern.

- Types of concerns:
  - Very common issue
  - Common issue
  - Sometimes
  - Never happens

- Percentage of communities reporting each concern:
  - 18% Very common issue
  - 36% Common issue
  - 51% Sometimes
  - 4% Never happens

- Sub-districts where the pie chart shows:
  - 0% Not covered in analysis
  - 14% Reported
  - 3% NOT reported
  - 6% Not covered in analysis

ii. Map of top three HLP concerns per sub-district (based on percentage of communities reporting occurrence)

- NOTE: The pie charts on the maps illustrate the top three options reported in the sub-district, based on the percentage of communities reporting each option as occurring sometimes or more. They are NOT indicative of the frequency/severity of occurrence. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows more than three options, it means that all displayed options were reported by the same percentage of communities. In sub-districts where the pie chart shows less than three options, it means that only displayed options were reported.