

**RRR Sector Meeting Minutes**  
**Date: Tuesday 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2016**  
**Venue: IOM**

Attendees:

<b><u>Agenda items</u></b>	<b><u>Keys points and Actions</u></b>
<b>Endorsement of meeting minutes</b>	Partners endorse meeting minutes of 26 February 2016.
<b>Integrated Approach Framework and RRR working groups</b>	<p>GMS is closed and all project concept notes are ready to be reviewed. RRR Coordinator will be an observer for the project while the different sectors meet towards end of this week and early next week.</p> <p>For the framework's outputs the following thoughts/discussed points should be noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Output 1:</b> Safety and security → lead: ASSIST to submit</li> <li>○ <b>Output 3:</b> NFIs → lead: NOHS to submit</li> <li>○ <b>Output 4&amp;5:</b> Basic Services and FSL → lead: COOPI/UPO to submit. (COOPI/UPO: FSL/WASH, IOM: health, SOLO: education and Nutrition will be incorporated).</li> </ul> <p>Mission left for Um Baru to ensure integrated approach and discuss with the communities the new approach/needs. Mission is due to be completed by Thursday 24th March 2016 and by week commencing 27<sup>th</sup> March 2016, will be providing an update on the findings.</p>
<b>RRR working groups</b>	<p>During last meeting (26<sup>th</sup> February 2016) it was decided that there will be two working groups who will follow up on specific themes in close consultation and collaboration with the RRR sector coordinator:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Information Management Taskforce (IMT)</b> led by IOM and composed of ASSIST, UNIFPA, AORD, VRRC (TBC), WFP (TBC) and UNHCR (TBC). They will collect and analyse data on return and reintegration trends and needs and share on a regular basis with all sector members (including sector coordinators, UNOCHA as well as VRRC and HAC) through information sheets as well as by reflecting all information in the RRR HNO. The information will be key to inform the work of the other taskforce who will be looking at the strategic direction of the sector as well as inform advocacy for interventions in return and reintegration in targeted areas in Sudan.</li> <li>• <b>Programme Development &amp; Strategic Taskforce</b> led by McScotland and WFP and composed of ADRA, NIDAA, CRS and ASSIST. This group will use the information received from the IMT and will translate the needs into interventions to be done by RRR or through the integrated response plan to be addressed through a multisector approach. Under the guidance of the RRR sector coordinator, the taskforce will also be responsible for developing the 2016 sector response plan as well as preparing for advocacy with donors for supporting phase III of the framework. The taskforce will also be involved in the further development of the framework for return and will start the development of the framework for integration.</li> </ul>
<b>2016 Humanitarian Response – RRR</b>	<b>The sector coordinator shared</b> a zero draft with the sector members for review, discussion and feedback/inputs. This zero draft is based on Government inputs as well as a continuation of 2015 sector plan. The zero draft was discussed and agreed with the Sector Lead.

<p><b>Sector Objectives and timeline</b></p>	<p>The sector coordinator continued by outlining the 2016 HRP guidelines which had been provided by UNOCHA.</p> <p><b>Feedback:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sector members were in agreement with the zero draft except for one request to incorporate sector objective 3: laying foundations for Durable Solutions in the other sector objectives. This was contradicted by another sector member who requested to exclude coordination from that objective. Both inputs will be reviewed by the task force and will be adequately addressed.</li> <li>• Sector members expressed their concern with regards to the timeline to upload as well as review project sheets in OPS and they requested for some additional time to be realistic and ensure quality. They highlighted that the timelines are also overlapping with the SHF timelines and that for all action, especially INGOs, HQs need to provide approval.</li> <li>• HAC highlights and partners agree on the need for an interagency mission to White Nile and Sennar state to understand the needs and to identify which actor is best positioned to respond.</li> </ul> <p><b>Action point:</b> Sector Coordinator to liaise with OCHA to request a change of the deadlines. Suggested date for submission: 11<sup>th</sup> April 2016 and review: 21<sup>st</sup> April.</p> <p><b>Action point:</b> Sector coordinator to share the draft sector response plan to all for review and comments as well as share the latest HNO for decision on priority areas and boundaries for 2016 HRP for RRR.</p> <p><b>Action point:</b> Sector Coordinator to organise an ad-hoc sector meeting on March 31st to ensure strategic/qualitative submission of partner proposals as well as identify possible multisector and integrated approaches in prioritised areas.</p>
<p><b>Sector Coordination Performance Monitoring (CCPM)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The CCPM is regarded as a useful tool providing information of what the sector is planning to do. Partners support/agrees the sector should continue the CCPM on a yearly basis.</li> <li>• The overall response rate is 'good' but where it is satisfactorily, the sector needs to see how to improve or whether certain elements are still required.</li> <li>• Outcome of discussions of categories: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Supporting service delivery</b> (overall rating – good) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Although good rating, there are still gaps to be filled</li> </ul> <p><b>Action point:</b> data to be better collected and to be handled by the Information Management Taskforce (IMT) to provide a broader understanding of the situation for better service delivery.</p> </li> <li>2. <b>Information Strategic Decision</b> (overall rating – good/satisfactory) <p><b>Action points:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Partners to provide the information/assessment to the IMT.</li> <li>b) ASSIST to have available the report/feedback of the Um Baru mission by next sector meeting.</li> <li>c) The Sector Coordination to have a bi-lateral discussion on the required guidelines to understand/identify <i>the risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond and constraints</i>.</li> </ol> <p>UNIP has been invited to join the RRR forum to assist with guidance.</p> </li> <li>3. <b>Planning &amp; Strategy Development</b> (overall rating – good) <p>Partners agreed with the overall rating and for the sector to continue as it is planning.</p> </li> </ol> </li> </ul>

	<p><b>4. Advocacy</b> (overall rating – good/satisfactory)  <u>Action point:</u> Sector needs to approach various donors for funding and the HC and not solely rely on the CHF to show more of the sector’s strategy.</p> <p><b>5. Monitoring and Reporting</b> (overall rating – good)  <u>Action points:</u>  <i>a)</i> To simplify the form of the 4Ws (to be more visual)  <i>b)</i> New members to be briefed on the 4Ws tool.</p> <p><b>6. Contingency Planning/ Preparedness</b> (overall rating – satisfactory)  Partners agreed that the sector already has enough plans. No additional ones are required.</p> <p><b>7. AAP (overall rating – satisfactory)</b>  The sector coordinator provided her understanding from the comments made to the CPM – more listening of the community is required by the partners. Listening means more than just speaking with the community for 30mins. It needs to be understood that a lengthier rapport with the community is required to fully grasp on what they want.  <u>Action points:</u>  <i>a)</i> Whatever information one partner captures, it should be shared with the rest of the sector to ensure all are up to date with the development of events/situations.  <i>b)</i> At strategic paper level, partners needs to look at accountability which needs to be included to ensure better measurement.</p>
AOB	N/A

**Next meeting:** 19<sup>th</sup> April 2016 from 2-4 PM at AORD.