

85 IDP HH Assessment Report

ERM/WDK/1705/01

(Should be written and submitted ASAP after the completion of Rapid Need Assessment)

1. General Information:

Assessment Location: (Province/District/Village)	Province : Wardak District: Maydan Shahr Village: Daud khan Mina, Eslahat-e-Edari, Family, Hiwad Mina, Naser Abad, Proja-e-Mamurin, Qole-i-Hazara, Shar-e-Now, Takht-i-Kotal		
Type of Crises: (Conflict/Natural Disaster/Other)	Conflict		
Crisis Location: (Province/District/Village)	Province: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wardak: Maydan Shahr, Jalrez, Sayd Abad, Chak, Nerkh • Nangarhar: Chaparhar, • Logar: Mohammad Agha, • Paktika: Matakhan 		
Assessment Team: (Name of I/NGO in the Assessment Team)	SI, WSTA/UNHCR, CTG/WFP, DoRR – joint assessment		
Crises Date: (Date of Displacement-Estimated)	05/15/2017		
Date of Notification:	05/15/2017		
Date of Assessment: (starting date/ending date)	16 th , 17 th , 18 th , 21 st May -2017		
TYPES OF ASSISTANCE PROPOSED			
Affected Population: (Total Caseload: IDP/CAT A/CAT B/Other)	HHs:	Families:	Inds.
	85	87	547
Multipurpose Cash (Full Amount)	85	0	547
Multipurpose Cash (Top Up)	0	0	0
NFI Kit	0	0	0
Emergency Shelter	0	0	0
Protection Referral	0	0	0
Individual Protection Assistance	0	0	0
TOT. UNIQUE BENEFICIARIES	85	87	547
Data Collection Method	Electronic	Hardcopy	
	✓	✓	

2. Assessment Finding and Recommendation in brief:

Be very concise and provide # of affected people, information about damages, priority needs, and recommended assistance (Who, When, How should be assisted, By which Organization).



Assessment

Following a notification from WDK DoRR regarding 283 IDP in Maidan Shar, an OCT meeting was organized with the participation: OCHA, WSTA, UNHCR/CTG/WFP, DORR, SI, DACAAR, DRC.

Those 283HH have been verified as being eligible for assessment through a joint screening process.

The assessment included SI, WSTA, CTG and DoRR with SI leading of the team.

As a result, 85 households have been found eligible for assistance.

Non-eligibility is due to time of displacement (prolonged IDPs), reason for displacement (no conflict), or duplication in the lists.

Assessment findings:

Table 1 Population composition

	New born		Children's (1-5)		Adolescents (5-18)		Adults(18-50)		Elder(+50)		Total		
	2.1-M	2.6-F	2.2-M	2.7-F	2.3-M	2.8-F	2.4-M	2.9-F	M	F	M	F	Total
TOTAL #	24	11	65	57	102	102	78	88	6	14	275	272	547
Total Ratio %	4	2	12	10	19	19	14	16	1	3	50	50	100

Recommendations: At the result of the HEAT assessment, 85 HHs have been identified as eligible for ERM assistance.

The analysis shows that 99% of the households have less than 8000 AFN per month as income, and 86% have more than 2000 AFN of debts.

The food security is borderline to critical, with 48% of the respondents have a medium/borderline CSI and 52% having a critical score.

Regarding the WASH situation of these families, 32% of the households (27) declared not having latrines and being using open defecation.

Most of the families are hosted or renting houses, with an average contribution of 2000 AFN, and 31% of the households are living under tents.

As a result of the assessment findings, three different assistances have been identified for these 85 HHs:

- **Multi-sectorial assistance:** to be provided by SI to 85 HH's for 2 months
- **WASH (latrines):** to be provided by SI. To 27HH's
- **Hygiene kits:** to 85 HH's along with HP sessions.
- **Protection:** about 17 households should be visited for protection interviews

3. Sectorial Issues:

A) Food Security, Nutrition and Livelihood: *(provide detailed information about the impact of food security and livelihood i.e. lost/damaged food stock, current food stock statues, income sources, damaged/destroyed crops and agricultural land, type of agricultural land, agricultural inputs availability, diet diversity, irrigation system, livestock etc. Also describe immediate food and nutritional need and provide recommendation about the short and long term food and nutrition assistance)*

The food security situation of assessed families is precarious with 100% of the families having to adopt negative coping mechanisms when facing difficulties to meet their food needs.


Table 1 - HH CSI Score



CSI	HH #	HH %
Less critical	0.0	0%
Medium critical	41.0	48%
Most critical	44.0	52%
Avg. CSI	8.9	

The CSI indicated that 52% of the families are in a critical situation while 48% are borderline. In addition, 84% of the respondents have less than a week of food stock.

Table 2 - Food stock length



Those vulnerabilities are confirmed when looking at the financial status. Most families are getting incomes from daily work (87.1%) and 2.4% are jobless.


The average month income is currently at 2425 AFN per month, which represents a reduction of 65% in comparison to their situation before displacement. Most of the families are also indebted, with 86 % having debts.

Table 3 - Debt rate among HHs

Debts	HH #	HH %
No debts	12	14.1%
Less than 2000 AFN	8	9.4%
Between 2000 and 8000 AFN	47	55.3%
More than 8000 AFN	18	21.2%

Table 4 – Average monthly income before/after shock

Monthly income	Before shock	After shock	% decrease
Average	6882	2423	65



Recommendations:

Food assistance is the first need of these IDP families.

The significant level of negative coping strategies adopted, the lack of food stock and the poor financial status indicate a high vulnerability regarding food security. All 85 House should receive assistance.

B) NFI: *(provide detailed information about NFI items lost due to disasters/crises as well as what type of NFI is needed for how many families and when? NFI can include cooking items, kitchen items, hygiene items, bedding, clothing, fuel etc.)*

41 households out of 85 declared to have lost their assets because of the displacement. In addition, 96% of HH responded that NFI assistance was their 2nd priority after food. All families (100%) declared to be in need for NFI items.

Recommendation:

NFI is a verified need that should be covered. Assistance will be providing through Multi cash to all 85 HH by SI.

C) Shelter: *(please provide detailed information about the status of shelter condition i.e. type of normal shelters, # of shelters moderately damaged, severely damaged and completed destroyed by crises. How many people live in open space, sheltered with host families, etc. What kind of shelter support/assistance is needed and for How many families). Are there land ownership issues?)*

According to the assessment, 47 households are living at rented housed and 7 are hosted. However, 5 HH's are living in house other 26 HH's are living in tents.

Accommodation		
House	5	5.9%
host	7	8.2%
tent	26	30.6%
rented house	47	55.3%

Table 5 Accommodation status

Among families being hosted or renting place, the average renting (or contribution) cost is 1925 AFN per month.

Recommendation:

This renting amount will be covered by the multipurpose assistance. Families living under tents will be able to look for a rental place or to improve their shelter (mattresses, heater, blankets...).

D) WASH: *(provide detailed information about Water sources, affected water source, sanitation (latrines, used water and solid waste management) and hygiene issues. What is recommended in WASH sector and when?)*

According to the result of assessment 100% have access to a water source within 5 minute distance which is matching with the SPHERE standards. The water is overall clean for all kind of use (drinking, cooking, and bathing),

	Latrine availability		Type of latrine				Enough privacy and dignity	
	Yes	No	Open defecation	Community latrine	Family pit latrine	Family VIP latrine	Yes	No
HH #	62	23	27	0	58	0	37	48
HH %	73%	27%	32%	0%	68%	0%	44%	56%

Table 6 - Access to sanitation facilities

However, 27 households have no sanitation facilities. The hygiene is overall poor and some hygiene kits should be distributed along with HP sessions.

Recommendations:

- 27 latrines and baths facilities, to be provided in kind
- 85 hygiene kits to be provided in kind
- HP sessions to be conducted to 85HH

E) Protection: (provide detailed information about protection issues, protection needs, PSN and EVIs (Female H, elderly HHs, child HH, chronically ill members, disable members) and provide precise recommendation regarding IPAs)

Overall, the population assessed is characterized by a consistent level of vulnerability. The bulk of the assessed population is less than 18 years old (66%). 5 household are headed by a male elderly, 6 HH headed by female head, 3HH with more than 3 children, 5 HH headed by disable, 38 breastfeeding woman, one pregnant and 7 persons with chronic ill. The average household size is 6.4 individuals.

	Elderly Head of HH #	Female Head HH #	Child Head HH #	More than 3-Children's Under 5 (HH#)	Physical Disabled (HH #)	Disabled individuals	Breastfeeding womens (HH #)	Breastfeeding women	Pregnant women (HH #)	Pregnant women	Chronically ill (HH #)	Chronically ill people
Yes	5	6	2	3	5	5	38	39	1	1	5	7
No	80	79	83	82	80	N/A	47	N/A	84	N/A	80	N/A

Table 7 - Specific vulnerabilities of interviewed HHs

The assessment showed that several families have specific vulnerabilities that may result in a need for protection:

- 6 women-headed HH
- 2 child - head HH
- 3 HH with more than 3 children
- 39 breastfeeding
- 1 pregnant woman
- 5 people with disabilities
- 7 chronic ill



Recommendations

Among those households, at least 17 households have declared 2 or more vulnerabilities. They should be interviewed to evaluate if protection issues could arise.

F) Health: *(provide information about health issues, damaged/destroyed health facilities, current/available health facilities, access to health services and recommended assistance in this regards)*

As SCA NGO is the main health stakeholder in Wardak. SI and SCA already have a partnership agreement for referral of people in need of health services in previous projects. During the assessment the identified people with a need for referral will referral to the mentioned source.

Recommendations

Referral of identified persons to SCA NGO for getting remediation and further support

H) MARKET ASSESSMENT: *(provide summary information regarding the local market, accessibility, security constrains and fill the table if a market assessment has been conducted)*

Market is accessible by 100% of the IDPs and is fully functional. The average distance is 2km. Maidan Shar city is fairly close to Kabul (40 minutes' drive). No security constraints in the city.

The supply of the market is then stable and secured.

Most of the NFI items are available in the bazaar. In case of shortage of lack of specific items, Kabul market is easily accessible for shopkeepers willing to restock.

L) Other: *provide detailed information about any other issue that is not covered above, this can include, security, infrastructure, coordination & assistance provided by others, problems encountered during the assessment, e etc)*

4. Urgent Priority needs of affected people (as per affected point of view)

(Provide detailed information about top 3 priority needs of affected population as per the opinion of affected population and provide your recommendation how and when identified needs shall be addressed)

Needs	1st priority		2nd priority		3rd priority		Total mentioned	
	HH #	HH %	HH #	HH %	HH #	HH %	HH #	HH %
WASH	0	0%	0	0%	10	12%	10	4%
Shelter/Tent	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Food	82	96%	2	2%	0	0%	84	33%
Hygiene	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NFIs	2	2%	82	96%	0	0%	84	33%
Cash	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Health	1	1%	1	1%	74	87%	76	30%
Other	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%
Total respondent (HH #)	85	100%	85	100%	85	100%	255	100%



Humanitarian Aid
and Civil Protection



Challenges:

- Duplication in the notified list
- Finding of notified HH due to their movement from the sites

5. Annexes

(Please provide the database collected under the ERM household assessment in electronic form)

[WDK-ERM-1705-01- HEAT DATAB \(85HH\).xlsx](#)

Report written by: Shah Mahmood WDK – ERM –Area manager

Date of writing: 25/05/2017

Reviewed by: Robin LAUR, Emergency Coordinator

Date: 31/05/2017

Approved by: Robin LAUR, Emergency Coordinator